

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/688,010	10/13/2000		Jerome R. Bellegarda	04860.P2564	9170
8791	7590	12/02/2004		EXAM	INER
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN				WOZNIAK, JAMES S	
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
JEVENTILL		00025 1020		2655	

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



5.	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/688,010	BELLEGARDA, JEROME R.					
·	Examiner	Art Unit					
	James S. Wozniak	2655					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) x they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:		•					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 							
The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-38</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 39-45.							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen							
0. ☐ Other:							
		DAVID OMETZ PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2653					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) 5

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments regarding a lack of motivation for the combination of Gorin (U.S. Patent: 5,860,063) and Bangalore et al (U.S. Patent: 6,317,707) and Bangalore's failure t teach vector classification of a word in a semantic space are not convincing. Bangalore discloses clustering similar words or phrases based upon grammitical meaning (semantics) and utilizes a feature vector for the benefit of conveniently representing the words or phrases for a distance comparision to determine similarity (Bangalore, Col. 1, Line 59- Col. 2, Line 6, and). Therefore, Bangalore teaches the limitation regarding the classification of a word as a predetermined command based on a vector representation in a semanti space and is proper in combination with Gorin since both references are from a similar field of endeavor in word clustering based upon grammitical meaning.

For purposes of appeal the proposed amendments will not be entered since independent claims 31 and 35 contain the added limitations regarding: word agglomeration that replaces a sequence of words with an n-tuple sequence, wherein the n-tuple sequence comprises al strings of n consecutive words in the sequence of words. The added limitations have not been previously claimed with respect to these specific independent claims, nor previously considered in any corresponding claims dependent from the aforementioned claims. Thus, the proposed amendment will not be entered for purposes of appeal.